

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,334	08/22/2003	Gary R. Holt	10006.001410	8286	
31894	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
OKAMOTO	& BENEDICTO, LLI	YENKE, BRIAN P			
P.O. BOX 641330 SAN JOSE, CA 95164			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2622		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,334	HOLT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Elec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>2-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Inform	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02 Aug 04</u> . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 13-17 in the reply filed on 07 July 2006 is acknowledged, whereas claim 1 was cancelled based upon a 101 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeHann et al, US 6,937,655 in view of Dorricott et al., US 5,329,309.

In considering claims 2-5 and 10-12,

DeHann discloses a system which performs segementation and objection motion estimation between fields/frames of an incoming video sequence wherein the sequence is interlaced and the output is interlaced. DeHann discloses that an intermediate frame/interpolated frame is generated based upon the subsampling of the incoming fields/frames (Figs 1-3 and description).

However, DeHann does not explicitly recite receiving a progressive signal. The reception of a progressive signal which is later converted into interlaced, or no conversion based

of course on the display/user requirements is conventional practice in the art. The examiner evidences such practice by incorporating Dorricott which discloses the concept of receiving anyone of a multitude of video signals (including interlaced and progressive) wherein the system may convert the signals into any one of a desired outputs (including interlaced and progressive as shown (Figs 66-70)).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

DeHann which discloses the object estimation/segmentation of incoming interlaced signal, to
also estimation/segment progressive sources if received, in order to provide the user the
conventional capability of receiving a variety of inputs and providing the user the ability to
convert the signal as desired.

In considering claims 6-9,

DeHann discloses segmenting the incoming images based upon the objects included in the fields/frames, wherein the areas that are exposed or filled in are based upon the presence of the objects in one or more of the frames/fields.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.

The examiner has also included the MPEG-4 protocol which is an object based segmentation motion detection algorithm. The examiner requests the applicant to clarify the differences between MPEG-4 and the current application, in order to expedite prosecution.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,334 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents.

Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pregrant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

Art Ųńit: 2622

B.P.Y

15 September 2006

BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINER